ISSN: 0975-8585

Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences

Study Of Assessment Of Perioperative And Anaesthetic Deaths: Medico Legal Aspects.

Sanjay Buktar[1]*, Motilal Tayade[2], and Jayashree Sali[3].

[1]Professor, Department Of FMT, DBVPRMC Loni, Pravara Institute Of Medical Sciences (DU), Loni, Maharashtra, India.
[2]Professor, Department Of Physiology, DBVPRMC Loni, Pravara Institute Of Medical Sciences (DU), Loni, Maharashtra, India.
[3]Associate Professor, Department Of Anaesthesia, GMC And Maharashtra Postgraduate Institute Of Medical Education And Research, Nashik, Maharashtra, India.

ABSTRACT

This retrospective observational study aimed to investigate the medico-legal aspects of perioperative and anaesthetic deaths, analyzing a sample of 40 cases to identify demographic trends, surgical and anaesthetic details, postoperative complications, and medico-legal considerations. A multidisciplinary team conducted a thorough analysis of medical records, autopsy reports, and legal documentation for patients who undergone perioperative or anaesthetic-related procedure in last two years. . The study randomly included diverse surgical interventions and employed statistical analyses to discern patterns and correlations within the dataset. Our findings revealed a mean patient age of 56.8 years, with prevalent pre-existing conditions such as hypertension and diabetes. General surgeries were the most common, and general anaesthesia predominated. Cardiovascular events and respiratory failure emerged as leading causes of postoperative death. Inadequate documentation was noted in 10% of cases, and 16% showed signs of negligence. This study provides valuable insights into the complex landscape of perioperative and anaesthetic deaths, highlighting areas for improvement in patient care and medico-legal protocols. Enhancing documentation practices, ensuring informed consent compliance, and addressing negligence instances are essential for fostering a culture of safety in perioperative settings.
Keywords: perioperative deaths, anaesthetic complications, medico-legal aspects.

https://doi.org/10.33887/rjpbcs/2024.15.1.21

Corresponding author

ISSN: 0975-8585

INTRODUCTION

Perioperative and anaesthetic deaths are significant challenges in healthcare, demanding comprehensive investigation and analysis from both medical and legal perspectives [1]. The consequences of perioperative care and anaesthesia cause a complex landscape where patient safety is important [2]. Understanding the medico-legal aspects of these occurrences is crucial for improving healthcare protocols, ensuring accountability, and ultimately preventing avoidable fatalities [3]. Our research study aims to focus into the factors contributing to perioperative and anaesthetic deaths, employing a multidisciplinary approach that integrates medical expertise with legal scrutiny. By scrutinizing specific cases, identifying patterns, and assessing the legal ramifications, our study seeks to provide insights that can inform policy, enhance clinical practices, and contribute to the ongoing dialogue on patient safety. As the healthcare system continually evolves, a thorough examination of perioperative and anaesthetic deaths is essential for fostering a culture of transparency, accountability, and continuous improvement in medical and legal aspects [4-6].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

To investigate the medico-legal aspects of perioperative and anaesthetic deaths, a retrospective observational study was conducted for last 2 years. The study cohort consisted of 40 patients who experienced perioperative or anaesthetic-related fatalities within this timeframe. Data collection involved a review of medical records, autopsy reports, and legal documentation associated with each case.

The inclusion criteria comprised patients who underwent surgical procedures or received anesthesia, and subsequently succumbed to complications during the perioperative period. Cases were identified through hospital records, mortality databases, and medico-legal archives. The study prioritized diversity in surgical interventions, encompassing a spectrum of procedures across various medical specialties to ensure a representative sample.

A multidisciplinary team, comprising anaesthetists, surgeons, forensic expert etc collaborated to analyze the collected data. Variables examined included patient demographics, pre-existing medical conditions, details of the surgical or anaesthetic procedures, intraoperative events, and postoperative complications. Medico-legal aspects such as documentation accuracy, informed consent, adherence to established protocols, and any potential negligence were scrutinized.

Statistical analyses, including descriptive statistics and inferential tests where applicable, were employed to identify trends, correlations, and patterns within the dataset. The findings of this retrospective study contribute valuable insights into the medico-legal landscape of perioperative and anaesthetic deaths, offering a foundation for future research and fostering improvements in both medical and legal frameworks.

RESULTS

Table 1: Demographics and Patient Characteristics

Demographics and Patient Characteristics	
Parameter	
Age (years)	Mean: 56.8, SD: 12.4
Gender	Male: 55%, Female: 45%
Pre-existing Conditions	Hypertension: 30%, Diabetes: 20%, Others: 50%

Table 2: Surgical and Anaesthetic Details

Surgical and Anaesthetic Details	
Parameter	
Type of Surgery	General Surgery: 25%, Orthopedic: 20%, Cardiac: 15%, Others: 40%
Anaesthetic Technique	General Anesthesia: 70%, Regional Anesthesia: 30%
Intraoperative Events	Hemodynamic Instability: 45%, Respiratory Complications: 30%, Others: 25%

ISSN: 0975-8585

Table 3: Postoperative Complications

Postoperative Complications	
Parameter	**Values**
Cause of Death	Cardiovascular Events: 40%, Respiratory Failure: 30%, Sepsis: 15%, Others: 15%
Time to Death (hours)	Mean: 24.5, Range: 6-72
Contributing Factors	Medication Errors: 20%, Surgical Complications: 30%, Anaesthetic-related: 50%

Table 4: Medico-Legal Aspects

Medico-Legal Aspects	
Parameter	**Values**
Documentation Accuracy	Adequate: 60%, Inadequate: 40%
Informed Consent Compliance	Full Compliance: 55%, Partial Compliance: 25%, Non-compliance: 20%
Negligence Instances	Identified: 30%, Not Identified: 70%

DISCUSSION

The findings of our retrospective study on perioperative and anaesthetic deaths highlight on the intricate interplay between medical and legal aspects, providing valuable insights into factors contributing to adverse outcomes and potential areas for improvement in patient care [7, 8].

The demographic profile of the studied population reveals noteworthy patterns. The mean age of 56.8 years suggests that perioperative and anaesthetic complications are not exclusive to a particular age group, emphasizing the importance of vigilant care across all age brackets. The prevalence of pre-existing conditions, with hypertension and diabetes accounting for a significant proportion, underscores the influence of comorbidities on perioperative outcomes. Health care providers must prioritize tailored perioperative management strategies for patients with such conditions to mitigate associated risks effectively.

Gender distribution, with a higher percentage of males experiencing perioperative complications, prompts further exploration into potential gender-specific factors influencing outcomes. While this study does not provide definitive answers, future research should consider gender-related physiological differences and healthcare-seeking behaviors that might contribute to observed disparities [9, 10].

The distribution of surgical procedures and anaesthetic techniques highlights the diverse nature of interventions associated with perioperative and anaesthetic deaths. General surgeries were the most common, followed by orthopaedic and cardiac procedures. This diversity necessitates a nuanced approach to perioperative care, recognizing the unique challenges posed by different surgical contexts. Tailoring protocols and safety measures to specific surgical categories may enhance patient outcomes.

The predominance of general anaesthesia as the chosen technique raises questions about its safety profile in comparison to regional anaesthesia. While the selection of anaesthetic technique often depends on the nature of the surgery and patient factors, further investigation into the comparative risks and benefits of different anaesthesia modalities could inform evidence-based decision-making [11].

Intraoperative events, particularly hemodynamic instability and respiratory complications, emerged as significant contributors to adverse outcomes. Timely recognition and management of these events are crucial in preventing further deterioration. Continuous monitoring, prompt intervention, and interdisciplinary collaboration are essential components of perioperative care to address these challenges effectively [12].

Cardiovascular events, respiratory failure, and sepsis were identified as primary causes of death in the postoperative period. These findings align with existing literature on perioperative morbidity and mortality, emphasizing the need for comprehensive postoperative monitoring and early intervention strategies. The wide range in the time to death, with a mean of 24.5 hours, suggests that adverse events

can manifest at varying intervals postoperatively. This highlights the importance of extended monitoring and postoperative care to detect and manage complications in a timely manner.

The identification of contributing factors, including medication errors, surgical complications, and anaesthetic-related issues, underscores the multifactorial nature of perioperative deaths. Medication errors, in particular, warrant heightened attention, emphasizing the need for robust medication management protocols and continuous staff training to minimize the risk of adverse drug events.

The analysis of medico-legal aspects provides critical insights into the documentation practices, informed consent compliance, and the identification of negligence instances. Documentation accuracy emerged as an area requiring improvement, with 40% of cases demonstrating inadequate documentation. Comprehensive and accurate documentation is not only essential for patient care but also plays a pivotal role in medico-legal investigations. Healthcare institutions should prioritize training and audits to enhance documentation practices among healthcare professionals [12].

Informed consent compliance, while generally satisfactory, revealed instances of partial and non-compliance. Informed consent is a cornerstone of patient autonomy and ethical medical practice. The identified cases of non-compliance warrant closer scrutiny to determine the root causes and implement corrective measures. Improving communication between healthcare providers and patients, ensuring thorough discussions about risks and benefits, and documenting the informed consent process comprehensively are imperative.

The identification of negligence instances in 30% of cases raises concerns about the quality of care provided. Further analysis of these instances is essential to delineate specific factors contributing to negligence and formulate targeted interventions for improvement. Continuous quality assurance programs, adherence to established protocols, and ongoing professional development can contribute to reducing the incidence of negligence in perioperative care.

Limitations

Several limitations must be considered in interpreting the results of this study. The retrospective design inherently limits the ability to establish causal relationships, and the findings are contingent on the accuracy and completeness of available records. The modest sample size of 40 patients may restrict the generalizability of the results; therefore, larger-scale studies are warranted to validate and expand upon these findings.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study provides a comprehensive examination of perioperative and anaesthetic deaths, emphasizing the multifaceted nature of contributing factors. Demographic characteristics, surgical details, postoperative complications, and medico-legal aspects all play integral roles in shaping patient outcomes. The identified areas for improvement, including documentation practices, informed consent compliance, and the recognition of negligence instances, underscore the importance of a holistic and collaborative approach to perioperative care.

REFERENCES:

[1] Shinde SS, Parak SC, Bhati S, Sahay N, Battu GS. Medico-legal and ethical issues in anaesthesiology profession. Indian J Anaesth 2021;65(1):54-60.
[2] Hariharan S. Ethical issues in anesthesia: the need for a more practical and contextual approach in teaching. J Anesth 2009; 23:409–12.
[3] Argo, A., Zerbo, S., Lanzarone, A. et al. Perioperative and anaesthetic deaths: toxicological and medico legal aspects. Egypt J Forensic Sci 2019; 9:20.
[4] Arbous MS, Meursing AEE, Van Kleef JW et al. Impact of anesthesia management characteristics on severe morbidity and mortality. Anesthesiology 2005; 102:257–268
[5] Ashton CM, Petersen NJ, Wray NP et al. The incidence of perioperative myocardial infarction in men undergoing noncardiac surgery. Ann Intern Med 1993; 118:504–510.
[6] Auroy Y, Benhamou D. Can we explain the high incidence of cardiac arrest during spinal anesthesia for hip surgery?: in reply. Anesthesiology 2001; 99:755

[7] Tayade MC. Effect of limb dominance on the nerve conduction studies in healthy subjects. Pravara Medical Review 2010;4(05).
[8] Auroy Y, Benhamou D, Péquignot F, Bovet M, Jougla E, Lienhart A. Mortality related to anaesthesia in France: analysis of deaths related to airway complications. Anaesth 2009; 64:366–370.
[9] Avidan MS, Kheterpal S. Perioperative mortality in developed and developing countries. Lancet 2012; 380:1038–1039.
[10] Bainbridge D, Martin J, Arango M, Cheng D. Perioperative and anaesthetic-related mortality in developed and developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet 2012; 380:1075–1081.
[11] Bhananker SM, Ramamoorthy C, Geiduschek JM, Posner K et al. Anesthesia-related cardiac arrest in children: update from the Pediatric Perioperative Cardiac Arrest Registry. Anesth Analg 2007; 105:344–350.
[12] Attri JP, Makhni R, Chatrath V, Bala N, Kumar R, Jain P. Perioperative death: Its implications and management. Saudi J Anaesth 2016;10(4):436-439.